App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 158-26

Previous References (LB)

LB 36-1051-3

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Sep 27

SUBJECT

Estimate for the Construction of a bridge at Kupparavalli at 8/7 of the Ranjangud-Tirumakudal Road

4 To, The Chief Engineer, the President, Dist. Bd. Mysore, the Comptroller No. L 1535-71 d 14-9-27

Sanctions the revised estimate for the work.

22-1-28

WD 1036—GPB—

No. 7790 — ⊗
Enclosures 15
Detailed survey.

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPA
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 16 February

FROM

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

TO

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

SIR

T

With reference to G.O.No.R.2521-3/7,.R.36-20-3 dat August 1920, sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs 15,55 ing the Kupperavalli Bridge at 8/7 of the Nanjangud-T.Narsi have the honour to forward herewith for obtaining sanction o a revised estimate amounting to Rs 29,421 for the work.

2. The amount of the revised estimate as received fro Engineer, Mysore Division, amounted to Rs 29,720 causing a Rs 14,162 over the sanctioned amount, the details of excess

(1) Extra quantities in foundations and superstructu
(2) Enhanced rates over tendered rates.....
(3) Excess payments under centerings and bailing....
(4) New works .....
(5) Contingencies.....
Total

3. As some of the recommendations were not accept-able report was obtained from the Executive Engineer and the matt examined. It seems to me that item (1) the excess in quantit be approved, as the work is reported to have been authorised

V

intending Engineer the work having been carried out as per
n approved by Mr.R.A.Srinivasaiengar who was then Superin-
ng Engineer.

I am not however satisfied that enhancement of tendered
(2) is justified and the excess amount therefor is deleted.

As regards the excess under centerings and bailing, I do
hink that the Executive Engineer is correct in working out
ls when the contractor has agreed to do the work at definite
um amounts. But for reasons that the Executive Engineer has
dy carried out the work under Departmental supervisionand
found that excess expenditure was inevitable owing to
foundations a special payment of Rs 1,000 over the sanc-
amount may be paid.

As regards item 4 these works may be approved as they
due to changes in the design.

The revised estimate modified on the above lines comes to
3,421 and may be sanctioned.

The Executive Engineer has not managed the work satis-
orily. He has failed to keep on record Superintending Engi-
s sanction for incurring excess expenditure under foundations.
as also not in order in incurring excess expenditure under
ering and bailing, without sanction of competent authority,
also in recommending enhancement of tendered rates and dis
y in the submission of the revised estimate is not justified.
e irregularities will be dealt with separately by Government
he public works Department.

The President. District Board, Mysore, has intimated in
letter No.D.2.Dis.218 L.B.of 26-27 dated the 10th January 1927
the Board have resolved that, as they have already met the
of the original estimate. the Government may be requested to

to bear the extra cost involved in the revised estimate. The entire cost of the work has to be borne by the District Board, and there can be no justification for meeting the excess cost out of State funds.

7. The wood work used for centering is available and will be given some credit as soon as it could be used.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

15.2.27

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

V.W.S.

Details of Enclosures—
2 Plans
1 Comptn. Sht.
1 Revd. Sht. — Estimate for Rs 23621/-
1 Data Sht.
1 Modified Sht. — Estimate for Rs 3553/-
1 Calculn. Sheet.
1 Sanctd. Sht. for Rs 13438/-
3 Copies of letters—
1 Abstract of 24-12-25 from the Engr.
1 Copy of letter of 17-3-26 from the Presdt. D.B.
1 Extract of Proceedings
1 Report
15

The revised estimate costs Rs 23.42. The D.B. have resolved that the extra cost over the first estimate for Rs 15.958 i.e. about Rs 8000/- may be met from State funds. The Chief Engineer states that the entire cost should be met from D.B. funds

Submitted for orders whether the revised estimate may be sanctd., the cost being met by the D.B. & the D.B. ordered to be informed accordingly

Whether they would meet the cost in full, as no Govt grant seems possible

P.S.
25/2/27

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | LB | File No 15 | of 192

Serial No.

Kuppervalli bridge — Navigation Channel

1 The original sanction for the work amounts to Rs 15358. The Dy Engr has sent up a revised estimate for Rs 25720 providing increased amount under 5 heads. The Chief Engineer has disallowed one item as unauthorised & reduced provision under another head & has further revised the estimate to Rs 23421. The Dist Bd wants the excess expenditure to be met by govt, & the Chief Engineer says there is no justification for this. He has promised to take action against the Dy Engineer for the irregularities.

4 The estimate may perhaps be sanctioned for Rs 23421 as recommended by the Chief Engr. Govt Contr must meet the cost. The Bd Contr expect the work to be executed within the original estimate always. The Chief Engineer has scrutinised the estimate & has omitted items not strictly with charge. The Bd has therefore to meet the excess cost

9019 28/2

First Member 28/2

5

The Exc. Engr is a member of the Dt Board & could have apprised the D.B of all he was doing. The D.B is technically correct in refusing to pass the Excess

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

Serial No.	
A	Expe incurred behind these b to The Chief Engr. is requested k.o. to intimate final action taken in PW. √ these irregularities & these may be told that the works been sctn & the may be to get sanction for revised work was actually done. de 1/3/27
W.O 588 3/3/27	Forwarded with Compliments Chief Engr- in Mysore for necessary action By Rijra
4/3/27	P. Recd back herewith for 1975-77/s dy 16th May 27. 9/ Action to be t re Above. Br- Rw 19/5
Pto 72 4/3/27	
Dt 951 dy 7/4/27 in also linked Hsgs file.	
12255 28/5	19 Subd with a draft the Dt Board for as per Para. BB 25/5/27 May be appd Jm Hon. Member Issue mg-

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No.	
2	L 8664 dy 4/6/27 To the Secy Bd Mysore Requests to obtain the Sanction of the Board to the Revised estimate Secy 16/7
3	Letter No Sy Dis 75 LB dy 13/5/28 from the President Dt Bd Mysore stating that the Dt Board have resolved that the excess of Rs 7853/- over the original estimate of Rs 15568/- for the Construction of the Kumparavalli Bridge, be paid by the Dt Bd after the approach works are Constructed 1) Submitted with reference to para 6 (x) (supra) of the Notes. The Bd have agreed to pay the excess amount after the ~~excess~~ approach work are Completed Ck 23/8/28 1625/24/8 2) for perusal. This will be recorded Mod 24/8 3) Asst Member May be forwarded to D C E for info before filing Ke 25/8.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

763

7

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192

Serial No.

Forwarded as with Compliments to the Chief Engineer for favour of perusal and return

By

M Venkatesa Iyengar

Under Secy

GOI 25-8-27

Memorandum no 28/PWD 2-9-27

(1) Returned, with compliments.

2. Sanction to the revised estimate may be communicated early to enable closing the accounts of the work.

29.8.27

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Bangalore, 2nd ~~August~~ Sept 1927.

Draft Submitted

5/9 KSS/9 - for appl (Refer as in last para) - Mo. 9/9

2046 9/9

Secy

11/9

Note—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Correspondence ending with Note No.D.951 dated the 7th April 1927, by the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, reporting on the irregularities in connection with the construction of the Kuppervalli Bridge at 8/7th mile of the Nanjangud-T.Narsipur Road.

Order No. 1975-77-S-Title RR 18/5

Dated, Bangalore, the 16 May 1927.

In G.O.No.R.5060-2/L.B.46-18-13 dated the 3rd November 1926, an estimate amounting to Rs.13,438/- was sanctioned for the construction of a bridge across the halla near Kuppuravalli on the Nanjangud-T.Narsipur Road, the cost being met from District Funds. The tender which was subsequently accepted for the work involved an excess of Rs.2,120/-.

2. In September 1926, the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, submitted a revised estimate for the work, which amounted to Rs.29,720/-, resulting in a heavy excess of Rs.14,162/-. As the recommendations of the Executive Engineer were not acceptable, a detailed report was called for from the Executive Engineer in the matter.

3. After careful consideration of the report submitted by the Executive Engineer, Government observe that the Executive Engineer has not managed the work satisfactorily and has failed to keep on record Superintending Engineer's sanction for ~~increasing~~ meeting excess expenditure under foundations, that he was not in order in ~~increasing~~ meeting excess expenditure under "Centring and Ballg," without sanction of competent authority, and in recommending enhanced rates over accepted tender rates, and that his delay in the submission of the revised estimate until the completion of the work is not justified.

(10)

4. Being a District Board Work, financed entirely from District Funds, the Executive Engineer should have submitted, for the concurrence of the District Board and the orders of Government, a revised estimate as soon as excesses were apprehended in quantities and rates.

5. Government therefore direct that the Executive Engineer, Mr.L.A.H.Winckler, be censured for the unbusinesslike-way in which he has done the work.

6. Separate orders will issue on the revised estimate for the work.

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Executive Engineer, Mysore Division.
The General Secretary to Government, returning the U,O,File

- - - - - - - - -

M.M.4/14th.

Kupparavally Bridge site on Nanjangud f.Narasipur Road.

Inspected on 16-8-1922, by Mr. V.V.Nerve, Executive Engineer, Mysore Division.

Foundations for abutments were reported to be ready for putting concrete. The foundations could not be examined as there was 9 feet of water in the foundations of the left abutment and 4 feet in the right abutment at the time of inspection. The contractor reported that the water in the right abutment foundations was being bailed out from last evening by means of two pickotas and the depth of water removed was found to be a little over two feet. The surroundings are cultivated and plenty of water flows in and there is no use in trying to bail out the water now from the foundations for laying concrete. The contractor has been asked to stop the unnecessary expenditure. The soil dug up from foundations is thrown close to the edges of cutting 16 feet deep. All this is sure to fill in the foundations in the rainy season. The contractor should remove the soil at about 20 feet away from the edges.

The sides of excavation are nearly verticle. One more step should be cut back 2'x 4' to prevent slips. This should be got done at once.

To prevent surface side water coming into the foundations, deep drains at the sides of the old road should be made. These have been pointed out to the contractor.

The total depth of foundations from the ground level for the left and right abutments are found to be 16 and 18 feet respectively as the R.Ls. of their exact positions were not marked on the plan it was not possible to know the R.Ls. of foundations. By rough calculations it was found out to be about R.L.80.00 against the sanctioned level 82.00.

There are 4 small masonry blocks built up at site making the central lines of the bridge. Their positions should be marked on the plan correctly which will facilitate the finding of the exact level of the foundations. In addition to the above, a cr

12

correct foundation plan to an enlarged scale, marking therein the ~~Cubits~~ Ground levels. at corners and the levels of foundations should be prepared and checked by the Sub-Division Officer before concrete is laid. This will also help for finding out the exact quantity of work done.

Copy

for Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division.
6/27

MR.6/1/27.

6.1.27.

D.O. Letter No.D.2. Dis.67/L.B.25-26 dated 7th August 1925, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division. Mysore.

==========

I understand that the work of the Kupparavally Bridge is again at a stand still. I shall feel obliged by your kindly issuing instructions for expediting the work so that the bridge may be available for traffic before the month of November

==========

opy

HR.6/1/27.

Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division.

6/1/27

6.1.27.

Petn 1798/23.12.25

4

To

The Executive Engineer,

Mysore Division, M Y S O R E.

PETITIONER:- M.E. Fakir Ahamed Sab, Contractor of Bridge across the Addahalla of Kupparahatti, 7th Mile in Nanjangud-T. Narasipur Road.

RESPECTED SIR:

I beg to bring the following few lines for your kind consideration and favourable orders:-

I.THAT in the matter of the great loss and trouble that have to incurr in connection with this Bridge I have from time to time submitted many applications.

If Your Honor were to look my application dated 21-7-25 20-10-25, 2-5-24, 31-7-24, 1-9-24, 13-4-25, Your Honor will be able to gauge the extent of the loss which I have incurred. I mention a few points of those below:--

II.THAT Your Honor knows that three miles round about this Bridge there are Thari Jamins. It is very difficult to get the mud, Fire-wood etc., to burn the Bricks. Thereto all the trouble of getting the Bricks burnt at three miles farther off, where there are no Thari Jamins and use them for the Bridge fell upon me and this meant a heavy loss. In spite of this Your Honor knows that I have used full Bricks for the Arch-work.

III.THAT since Chunam, stone etc., could not be had near the place of the Bridge, the chunam had to be brought from a distence of 14 miles. In this matter I have reported to the Assistant Engineer Sahib and the Overseer Sahib.

IV. THAT since the ryot population round about are busily engaged in their cultivation of Thari and Kushki Jamins I had to import the labour from a distence at a higher rate of wages.

V. THAT the Overseer Sahib also knows that the concrete required for this Bridge had to be carried from a long distence.

VI. THAT during the time of repairing the Centring anout 200ft. of wood were rejected as unfit and therefore this could not be used for the work. In the matter of the loss incurred in this connection I have submitted my application on 26-5-24 to Your Honour. During the time of Archwork no measurement was taken for levelling the wood for carrying the same, cutting it etc. On observing my Accounts there was nearly half the difference between my measurement and the measurement actually taken.

VII. THEREFORE I pray that Your Honor might be pleased to sanction for the Arch-work at the rate of Rs8/- per ft. and Rs5/- per ft. for other work, so that Your Honor's humble Applicant may not suffer a loss.

b) THAT on 3-8-25 when all the workmen went away after their work there was a heavy wind at about 8'o Clock, followed by a heavy rain in which water was flowing at a fast speed and as a consequence of which all the supporting Bamboos were thrown down and out of this three centerings broke down and became unfit for work. Therefore it had to be replaced by a new wood. Further other centerings had to be repaired. This meant I had to spend another extra Rs300/- and combine the centerings. All this have been reported to Your Honor and to the Assistant Engineer both by applications and personally on the spot. The Overseer Sahib also has brought the matter to your notice by a report to Your Honor personally.

c) FURTHER forty Beams were swept away in the flood which

was seen personally by the Overseer and reported to Your Honor

d) THAT near the Centering Spot a sum of Rs30/- for each Arch had to be spent to combine one Arch with the other Coolies and Carpenters. This has not been included in the Bill by the Overseer Sahib. I pray that this may also be included.

VIII. SINCE I have last much in this Centering work I pray that such rates may be kindly ordered to be paid to Your Honor's Humble Applicant that will not mean entire loss to me.

IX. THAT since square stones could not be had in the localit it had to be imported from Haravey Quarry in Chamarajanagar Taluk - a distence of 22 miles. Out of these stones some were rejected as unfit by the Assistant Engineer Sahib and the Over seer Sahib; to the remaining stones some were made to pieces to fit the required measurement. Therefore I pray that Your Honor might be pleased to sanction at the rate of Rs1-4-0 per ft. for this work.

X. Further I have executed the work without the least defect in the Bridge to your entire satisfaction.

THEREFORE my prayer most respectfully is that to avoid loss to Your Honor's humble Applicant, the following Rates may be given:--

			Rs
a)	Concrete:	per ft.	0-6-0
b)	Brick work	"	0-7-0
c)	Arch-work	"	0-10-0
d)	Mortering or Gilav:	Per Sq.	5-0-0
e)	Square Slaps:	perft.	1-4-0
f)	Inclusion may be ordered to the Lift Lead.		

XI. THAT I also pray that Your Honor might be pleased to sanction the Amount of Bailing Water about which I have both personally and through applications represented my grievances.

In conclusion I beg to state that I have done all this work firmly on the belief and assurance that Your Honor gave me to help me in my work. I do not depend upon any body else excepting Your Honor. I have spent my all and I am in great financial difficulties.

Letter No. D2 Dis 910 D.B./25-26 dated 27th March 1926 from the President, District Board of Mysore to the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, Mysore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1360 dated the 2nd March 1926 regarding the revised estimate for Rs 26,550/- for the construction of Kuppervalli Bridge at 8/7 of Nanjangud-T.Narsipur Road, I have the honour to state that it was resolved by the District Board at their meeting held on the 6th March 1926 that as they have already met the cost of the original estimate, which comes to more than half of the cost of the revised estimate, the Government may kindly bear the extra cost involved in the revision. An extract from the proceedings of the District Board is enclosed for needful action.

The enclosures to your letter under reference are herewith returned.

"COPY"

Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division.

SAS 27/3/26.

Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board of Mysore passed at a meeting held at 1 P.M. on Saturday the 6th March 1926.

---

xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx

15. Memo No.1059 dated 15-1-1926 from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, forwarding copy of his letter to the Chief Engineer, requesting sanction to the revise estimate for Rs 26,550/- for constructing Kuppervalli Br bridge at 8/7 of Nanjangud-T.Narsipur Road.

Resolution:-As the Board have already met the cost of the original estimate which comes to more than half the cost of the revised estimate the Government may kindly bear the extra cost involved in the revision.

xxx xxx xxx

"COPY"

Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division.

SKS 27/3/26.

Report to accompany the revised estimate for Kuppervalli Bridge at 8/7 of the Nanjangud-T.Narsipur Road.

Owing to the difficulties experienced by the Public in crossing the stream during floods, the irrigation season and even in the dry weather on account of the loose soil in the bed, an estimate amounting to Rs 13,438/- was sanctioned, Vide G.O No.R. 5060-2.L.B. 46-18-13 dated 3rd November 1919 for the construction of a bridge of 5 arches of 30 feet span. The excavation of foundations was started in February 1922 and the Superintending Engineer soon after inspected while the work was in progress and ordered certain alterations in design. A modified plan was therefore prepared and the same was returned duly approved, and upon which basis works have been carried out.

2. The revised estimate now submitted amounts to Rs 26,470/- and the excess is mainly due to

(1) change of design.

(2) Extra cost involved in foundations as per actual requirements;

(3) heavy bailing water charges,

(4) cost of centerings.

Change of design:-

As explained in the first para of this report, the proposals are in the sanctioned design as per orders of the Superintending Engineer, Northern Circle; Originally splayed wings were provided and this was modified,, adopting

straight returns.

Foundations:- The sanctioned estimate provided fork excavation in ordinary soil but such ----- an important bridge could not possibly be constructed on loose disintegrated schist (chattu soil) especially as this valley is subject to long periods of saturation from the neighbouring wet fields and heavy floods. --- During execution, about 10 feet for abutments and 2 feet per piers of ordinary soil was removed during excavation, and owing to unsuitability, foundations were carried down to fairly reliable soft rock. The abutments were --- founded at an average depth of 18 feet and the piers at about 12 feet. In the interest of safety, this was necessary and the resulting excess of Rs 1,500/- may kindly be passed as now provided in the revised estimate.

Owing to the presence of springs it was not possible to keep the foundations absolutely clear of water and hence pockets and undulations had to be filled up using an admixture of cement to the surki mortar for the purpose of accelarating setting of concrete. In addition to these difficulties there was an extra lead and lift in a restricted area and hence an increase of 1½ annas per Cft of concrete has been proposed, which may kindly be passed in the circumstances explained.

Bailing water:- In the sanctioned estimate, there is a lumpsum provision of Rs 500/- per bailing water. Owing to the proximity of wet fields, nature of soil and the presence of springs, it was extremely difficult to keep the foundations clear of water in spite of 2 picotas working day and night assisted by hand bailing during the day time. The contractor who is a novice at this kind of work has tendered 20 per cent less than the estimated amount or Rs 400/-. From details kept of the labour actually employed and allowing a small margin of profit, the charges for abutment and pier amount to

Rs 750/- and Rs 500/- respectively. Hence the total cost for this item is Rs 2,500/- and the excess of Rs 2,000/- may kindly be passed.

Centerings:- A lumpsum of Rs 2,000/- has been made in the sanctioned estimate for centerings. But while detailed plans were prepared, it was seen that it would cost for one span as 2,200/- in teak wood and Rs 1,780/- in math wood. The latter was chosen on the score of economy and may be approved. It is also proposed to credit the work with Rs 2,000/- by taking the centerings to stock The accompanying detailed estimate amounting to Rs 3,553/- may kindly be sanctioned, inclusion of which is made as ordered in R Chief Engineer's No.B.4134 dated 22-1-192

Additional Works:- As this valley drains discharge from a wide catchment into the Kabbini River experience has disclosed that sudden and voluminously floods may be expected at frequent intervals. St such floods periods there are high velocities and hence it is necessary to protect the vents with rough stone confined between curtain walls and provide a suitable apron to prevent possible scour of foundations. It is also necessary to do more approach and draft clearance as water rose above the springing level during last rains as the ventways adjoining the abutments had not been cleared. The excess of Rs 3,900/- on this account is necessary and may be passed, reasonable rates having been included.

The accompanying comparative state

and another furnishing details for the various excesses will make it clear how the excess ~~have~~ have occurred.

All the five arches have been constructed and as the work is nearing completion, early sanction of the revised estimate is solicited.

13/1/26

Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division.

SkS 13/1/26.

Letter No.918/E.C.(87-22) dated Mysore, 11-2-1922 from the Superintending Engineer, ~~Ex~~ Western Circle, Mysore, to the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, Mysore.

With reference to his No.57 dated 27-1-22 has the honour to forward herewith modified plan prepared in this office for constructing a bridge of 5 arches of 30 feet span near Kupparavally Village at 8/7 mile of Nanjangud T.Narasipur Road for execution.

2. He is informed that if the hard soil cannot be met within the level marked in the plan for abutments and piers, further excavation can be continued until soil sufficiently hard is met with.

3. The original sanctioned plan and estimate received with his No.57 dated 27-1-22 are herewith returned.

'Copy'

HR.5/1/27.

for Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division.
6/1/27
6-1-27

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Section 48

File No. 15-8-26

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 604

No. L 8664 Engineering

To

The Pt. Dt. Board Ellore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(4-8-8

the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue 4-6-27

I am directed to forward herewith the Revised estimate for Rs 29.720/- read with chief Engineers letter No 2290 dated 16.2.1927 (copy which is sent herewith for reference) for constructing the Kuttavalli Bridge at 8/7 of the Narsapur - Tanuku Road and to state that ~~due~~ notice has been taken of the contract of the Executive Engineer who ~~has~~ incurred

Signed by Meade V. Sivaraman

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

2/6

the excess expenditure without

~~previous~~ authority and to request

you to be so good as to obtain

the sanction of the Board to the estimate as revised

as ~~to~~ the work has actually

done

Thanking etc

D. No. 7503
27-28
Encl -

4043

763 L
22-8-27

B 158-26

Subject:- Constructing the Kuppuravalli Bridge at 8/7 of Manjanur-T. Narasipur Road.

With reference to your letter No. [illegible] 4th June 27 requesting sanction of the District Board to the [illegible] estimate for constructing the Kuppuravalli bridge at 8/7 of [illegible] Manjanur-Narasipur road, I have the honour to state that the [illegible] Committee of the District Board of [illegible] resolved at its [illegible] held on 8th July 27 that " the revised estimate as recommended by the Engineer comes to only Rs. [illegible] being [illegible] the original estimate of Rs. 1557. This may be sanctioned by the District Board after the approach works are completed".

The above resolution of the [illegible] Committee was approved by the District Board at their third ordinary meeting held on the [illegible] 27 (Resolution No. 124).

An extract from the proceedings of the District Board is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary
District Board

Proceedings of the Ordinary meeting of the District Board, Shimoga held on Saturday the 6th August 1927 at 10 A.M. in the Public Offices building Shimoga

Members

Ex-Officio:-

1. The Deputy Commissioner, President
2. The Economic Superintendent

Elected

3. Mr. N. Veeraraj Urs
4. " P. R. Lakshmanarao.
5. " Gurumurthy Nanjappa
6. " Patel Subbanna.
7. " K. Venkatasamier B.A.,B.L.
8. " P. Papanna
9. " K. V. Veeranna
10 " C. D. Narayana Rao.
11 " S. Thimma Gowda alias Thimmiah Gowda
12. " Linge Gowda
13 " S. Devaiya
14 " B. Narasinga Rao
15 " Belle Gowda
16 " K. M. Krishnappa Gowda
17 " [illegible] Lakshmana Saastah
18 " [illegible] Mallaiah
19 " Thamme Gowda
20 " H. Honnaiya
21 " Seshagirirao
22 " Venkatappa alias Chikkanna
23 " G. Mallappa
24 Mr. S. Krishnaswamy Rao
25 " C. Narasimhaiya
26 " H. V. Hanumanthappa
27 " H. R. T. Rajagopala
28 " Mahamed Hussain alias Sabjan Sab
29 " M. Subba Rao.
30 " Lakshmanarao
31 " B. Siddalinga Se
32 " Patel Channappa
33 " M. S. Basavanna D
34 " Upadhya Nagara
35 " K. Krishnaswami Pa

Nominated

36. Mr. Mahamed Saib
37 " Chalavadi
38 " M. Venkata Krishn
39 " Siddalinga Dev
40 " M. S. Devaji Ra
41 " Lokahitaishi H. Nanjundaraj Urs
42. " Dasa Gowda
43. " Kundi Hatch
44 Captain V. L. Wyn Worrall
45.
46. Sri Nagappa P.

All were present except Nos. 1, 36 and 40. Vacant- 6.

xxxx xxxx xxxx

124. Letter No. A.3-44/27-28 dated 4-6-27 from the Secretary to Government regarding sanction of the District Board to the estimate for Rs. 20720 for constructing the Kumaravalli bridge in Sagar-Narasipur Road.

Resolution No. 23 of the Standing Committee meeting held on 2-8-27:- "The revised estimate as recommended by the Chief Engineer to only Rs. 23421 being an excess of Rs. 7868 over the original of Rs. 15553. This may be paid by the District Board after the works are completed"

RESOLUTION:- Approved.

xxxx xxxx xxxx

"Illegible"
G. Mallappa
President, District

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT..... . ...

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to... ....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 14-9-27

Signed by C V Venkata Iyengar

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section LB

File No. 158-4

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G O no R 2521-3/LB 36-27 dt 20th August 1927 sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs 15358/- for constructing the Kuppavalli Bridge at 8/7 mile of the Narasipura Nanjangud Road the cost being met from the Dt Bd funds.

2 Letter no 7790 dt 16th Feb from the Chief Engineer forwarding for sanction revised estimate for Rs 23,421 for the work ~~stating among other things~~ that the Dt Bd Mysore have resolved that the Govt may be requested to bear the Extl Cost involved out of State funds.

3) Correspondence ending with letter no.

Order Thereon No.

Dy Dir & 75 LB 13th March 1907

from the resolution of the
Mysore Dt forwarding a
copies of the resolution of the
Dist Bd resolving that
excess of Rs 7863/- over
original estimate of
15558/- may be paid
the Dist Bd after
approach works are
completed.

No:- L/5225-7 Ex Estimates & plans

The revised
estimate forwarded Rs 23421/-
forwarded by the Chief
Engineer is sanctioned ~~for~~ and
~~payment~~ The excess of Rs 7863/- over the original estimate
~~will be met from the Dt funds~~ will be met from the Dist Board funds
the Dt Bd as resolved by them

Sen Sec to Govt

List of enclosures.

The President Dt Bd Mysore
The Chief Engineer with the estimate & plan
The Executive Officer

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21